

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/681,036	10/08/2003	Lewis Illingworth	120-136	2725
7:	590 05/12/2005		EXAMINER	
John W. Olivo, Jr., Esq.			CHIESA, RICHARD L	
WARD & OLI Suite 300	vo		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
382 Springfield Avenue			1724	
Summit, NJ 0	77901		DATE MAILED: 05/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/681,036	ILLINGWORTH ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Richard L. Chiesa	1724				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
		action is non-final.	·				
3)	•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
′-	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
_							
7)23	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· ·	6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement					
Oralin(s) 1-40 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen		_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					
U.S. Patent and To PTOL-326 (R		tion Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date				
	y. —						

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings filed on October 8, 2003 are accepted by the examiner.

Claim Objections

2. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 32, and 32-45 have been renumbered as 32, and 33-46, respectively.

Election/Restrictions

- Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121: 3.
 - Claims 44-46, drawn to a centrifugal separating method, classified in class 95, I. subclass 271.
 - II. Claims 1-43, drawn to a centrifugal separator, classified in class 55, subclass 459.1.
- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are respectively related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used

Art Unit: 1724

to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the

Page 3

process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus such as one without

an input and output means, tube, or pipe.

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and recognized divergent

subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an 6.

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

7. Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention,

the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

8. This application also contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species

of the claimed invention: (A) Figures 4A, 4B, 4C, and (B) Figures 5A, 5B.

Therefore, applicants are also required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed

species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is

finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicants are advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of

Page 4

the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that

all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicants will be entitled to consideration of

claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

the election, applicants must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP §

809.02(a).

Should applicants traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,

applicants should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species

to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Richard L. Chiesa whose telephone number is (571) 272-1154.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Duane S. Smith, can be reached at (571) 272-1166.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Technology Center 1700 receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0987.

Facsimile correspondence must be transmitted through (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/681,036

Art Unit: 1724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard L. Chiesa May 10, 2005

> RICHARD L. CHIESA PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1724

Richard L. Chiesa

Page 5

May 10, 2005